

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,150	11/17/2003	Koji Tanaka	086142-0612	9441
22428	7590 06/16/2004		EXAM	INER
FOLEY AND LARDNER		NGUYEN, JOHN QUOC		
SUITE 500 3000 K STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007		3654		

DATE MAILED: 06/16/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Appli ation No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/713,150	TANAKA ET AL.	91		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	****		
	John Q. Nguyen	3654			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Peri df r Reply	app ars on the cover shet w	ith the correspondenc ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. In. In a reply within the statutory minimum of thire eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely ITHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disp sition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.2 and 6-11 is/are rejected.  7) ⊠ Claim(s) 3-5 is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and subject to restriction	ndrawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National	Stage		
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-944)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/17/03</u>.</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)		

Art Unit: 3654

Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 9, "determined based" is awkward and redundant.

The following appear to lack sufficient antecedent basis (in the claim): "the control device" (claim 10).

All claims should be revised carefully to correct all other deficiencies similar to the ones noted above.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2 and 6-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Specht et al. (US 6290159).

The embodiment of figure 2 should be noted (see also column 2, first paragraph). Since the motor is described as being a variable energy absorber, it is deemed inherent that the motor is variable in torque/speed which is effected by a variable current which

in turn is effected by a variable resistor. Fuses in electrical circuits to protect against excessive current are old and well known in the art and Official Notice of such is hereby taken. Therefore, a fuse is deemed inherent to protect the motor of Specht from excessive current or, alternatively, the provision of such would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art to protect the motor from excessive current. A gear train is deemed inherent such as in the coupling 20/21 or, alternatively, the provision of a gear train to couple the motor shaft to the spool would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art. The generated force is indirectly based on the weight of the occupant since the force exerted on the belt evaluated in evaluating device 26 is deemed proportional to the weight of the occupant.

Claims 3-5 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art of record does not show or render obvious an apparatus as recited in claim 3.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-2689. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Katherine Matecki, can be reached on (703) 308-2688. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/713,150 Page 4

Art Unit: 3654

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

JAQ. Myy

John Q. Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3654